

**TABLE S4 |** Genetic variability data of *Prochilodus lineatus* locus by locus of microsatellite markers. N: number of samples; Na: number of alleles; Ne: number of effective alleles; Ho: observed heterozygosity; He: expected heterozygosity; FIS: Intrapopulation Index; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium Test.  
\*Statistically significant values  $p \leq 0.007$ .

Sep_03	N	Na	Ne	Ho	He	$F_{is}$	HWE
AG72	17	3	1.97	0.59	0.49	-0.20	*
PL03	33	10	5.00	0.52	0.80	0.36	*
PL14	30	3	2.41	0.67	0.59	-0.14	ns
PL09	32	3	2.16	0.94	0.54	-0.74	*
PL139	33	17	13.04	0.67	0.92	0.28	*
PL216	33	7	3.17	0.48	0.68	0.29	*
PL119	25	24	17.12	0.76	0.94	0.19	*
Jan_05	N	Na	Ne	Ho	He	$F_{is}$	HWE
AG72	16	8	4.27	0.81	0.77	-0.06	ns
PL03	16	7	2.56	0.69	0.61	-0.13	ns
PL14	19	9	2.55	0.58	0.61	0.05	ns
PL09	13	2	1.08	0.08	0.07	-0.04	ns
PL139	16	13	8.13	0.69	0.88	0.22	ns
PL216	11	4	1.97	0.27	0.49	0.45	ns
PL119	11	4	3.27	0.00	0.69	1.00	*
Aug_05	N	Na	Ne	Ho	He	$F_{is}$	HWE
AG72	23	11	5.98	0.43	0.83	0.48	*
PL03	31	8	2.32	0.35	0.57	0.38	ns
PL14	32	18	9.23	0.81	0.89	0.09	ns
PL09	30	3	1.75	0.60	0.43	-0.40	ns
PL139	33	19	12.17	0.67	0.92	0.27	*
PL216	27	5	1.83	0.19	0.45	0.59	*
PL119	25	15	5.68	0.40	0.82	0.51	*



**TABLE S4 I** (Continued)

<b>Jan_06</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Na</b>	<b>Ne</b>	<b>Ho</b>	<b>He</b>	<b>F<sub>is</sub></b>	<b>HWE</b>
AG72	31	8	4.79	0.55	0.79	0.31	*
PL03	36	7	2.28	0.22	0.56	0.60	*
PL14	32	13	6.28	0.78	0.84	0.07	ns
PL09	20	2	1.22	0.20	0.18	-0.11	ns
PL139	35	19	11.09	0.66	0.91	0.28	*
PL216	27	3	1.93	0.19	0.48	0.61	*
PL119	22	6	4.86	0.36	0.79	0.54	*
<b>Jan_09</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Na</b>	<b>Ne</b>	<b>Ho</b>	<b>He</b>	<b>F<sub>is</sub></b>	<b>HWE</b>
AG72	26	7	4.10	0.42	0.76	0.44	*
PL03	30	5	2.25	0.33	0.56	0.40	ns
PL14	14	10	4.67	0.50	0.79	0.36	ns
PL09	28	2	1.65	0.54	0.39	-0.37	ns
PL139	29	22	16.99	0.76	0.94	0.19	ns
PL216	28	2	1.65	0.54	0.39	-0.37	ns
PL119	25	20	17.12	0.48	0.94	0.49	*
<b>Sep_10</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Na</b>	<b>Ne</b>	<b>Ho</b>	<b>He</b>	<b>F<sub>is</sub></b>	<b>HWE</b>
AG72	22	8	3.84	0.50	0.74	0.32	*
PL03	24	5	2.06	0.42	0.51	0.19	ns
PL14	21	11	6.08	0.62	0.84	0.26	ns
PL09	25	2	1.04	0.04	0.04	-0.02	ns
PL139	19	16	10.78	0.47	0.91	0.48	*
PL216	20	19	8.79	0.55	0.89	0.38	*
PL119	21	6	3.68	0.24	0.73	0.67	*



**TABLE S4 I** (Continued)

<b>Feb_15</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Na</b>	<b>Ne</b>	<b>Ho</b>	<b>He</b>	<b>F<sub>is</sub></b>	<b>HWE</b>
AG72	16	11	7.53	0.50	0.87	0.42	*
PL03	20	4	1.68	0.10	0.40	0.75	*
PL14	24	7	4.36	0.92	0.77	-0.19	*
PL09	25	5	2.14	0.76	0.53	-0.42	ns
PL139	25	18	12.89	0.56	0.92	0.39	*
PL216	18	4	2.17	0.39	0.54	0.28	*
PL119	25	20	10.25	0.88	0.90	0.02	ns
	<b>N</b>	<b>Na</b>	<b>Ne</b>	<b>Ho</b>	<b>He</b>	<b>F<sub>is</sub></b>	
<b>Mean</b>	24.4	9.3	5.5	0.5	0.7	0.213	
<b>SE</b>	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.03	0.03	0.05	

## Neotropical Ichthyology

OPEN  ACCESS

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Distributed under  
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

© 2022 The Authors.  
Diversity and Distributions Published by SBI



Official Journal of the  
Sociedade Brasileira de Ictiologia

### HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

- Rosa IF, Oliveira DJ, Cruz VP, Ashikaga FY, Costa GO, Doretto LB, Senhorini JA, Rocha RC, Foresti FP, Oliveira C, Foresti F. Temporal genetic structure of a stock of *Prochilodus lineatus* (Characiformes: Prochilodontidae) in the Mogi-Guaçu River ecosystem, São Paulo, Brazil. Neotrop Ichthyol. 2022; 20(2):e210156. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-2021-0156>