SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

S1 | Functional response analysis

Further, we perform a functional response analysis (FR) showing the relation between total consumption (native plus non-native) and total prey availability (native plus non-native). We performed to show that the experiment was conducted to reach the maximum consumption of the predator. We performed this analysis using the 'Frair' package (Pritchard, 2017). First, the FR type (*i.e.*, Type I, II or III) was determined using logistic regression of the proportion of prey consumed by the initial density of prey (Trexler *et al.*, 1988; Pritchard *et al.*, 2017). The FR curves and the parameters of attack rate (a) and handling time (h) were obtained using Rogers' random predator equation (Rogers, 1972) with maximum likelihood estimation (Holling, 1959; Bolker, 2008). This equation was used considering the depletion of prey (Juliano, 2001; Pritchard *et al.*, 2017):

$$N_e = N_0(1 - exp[a(N_eh - T)])$$

Where N_e is the number of prey consumed, N_0 is the initial density of prey, *a* is the attack rate, *h* is the handling time, and T is the experimental period, given in days. The handling time parameter was used to calculate the maximum feeding rate calculated as 1/hT (Fernández-Arhex, Corley, 2004; Dick *et al.*, 2013; Pritchard *et al.*, 2017). Uncertainty around the fitted functional responses was evaluated using 95% confidence intervals of FR curves were constructed by bootstrapping (n = 2000).

In this analysis, we found negative linear coefficient in the logistic regressions for each prey type, indicating FRs were type II (Density= -0.647 ± 0.007 , z = -8.584, p < 0.005) (Fig. 1). The FR parameters estimates were statistically significant (a = 0.057 ± 0.008 , z = 7.111, p < 0.005) with 1/hT =. 0.007. The type II FRs found align with



FIGURE S1 | Functional Response Analysis of *Micropterus salmoides* as predator of *Oreochromis niloticus, Coptodon rendalli,* and *Geophagus iporangensis.* The total consumption is a function of prey availability considering all preys together, given they were in the same experimental unit.



s1.1

previous studies in *M. salmoides* (Alexander *et al.*, 2014; Khosa *et al.*, 2020; Cuthbert *et al.*, 2020) and shows the point where the maximum consumption has been reached, from there the consumption remains relatively constant. We are aware that FR is correctly estimated using preys separately in experimental units. Here, preys co-exist and the FR below is thus a modification of classical FR of the non-native predator toward preys from different origins (native and non-native) in a co-existence scenario.

REFERENCES

- Alexander ME, Dick JTA, Weyl OLF, Robinson TB, Richardson DM. Existing and emerging high impact invasive species are characterized by higher functional responses than natives. Biol Lett. 2014; 10(2):e20130946. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rsbl.2013.0946
- **Bolker BM.** Ecological models and data in R. Princeton University Press. United States of America. 2008.
- Cuthbert RN, Wasserman RJ, Dalu T, Kaiser H, Weyl OLF, Dick JTA, Sentis A, McCoy MW, Alexander ME. Influence of intra- and interspecific variation in predator-prey body size ratios on trophic interaction strengths. Ecol Evol. 2020; 10(12):5946–62. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ece3.6332
- Dick JTA, Gallagher K, Avlijas S, Clarke HC, Lewis SE, Leung S, Minchin D, Caffrey J, Alexander ME, Maguire C, Harrod C, Reid N, Haddaway NR, Farnsworth KD, Penk M, Ricciardi A. Ecological impacts of an invasive predator explained and predicted by comparative functional responses. Biol Invasions. 2013; 15:837–46. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-012-0332-8
- Fernández-Arhex V, Corley JC. La respuesta funcional: una revisión y guía experimental. Ecol Austral. 2004; 14(1):83– 93. Available from: http://www.scielo.org. ar/pdf/ecoaus/v14n1/v14n1a10.pdf
- Holling CS. Some characteristics of simple types of predation and parasitism. Can Entomol. 1959; 91(7):385–98. https://doi. org/10.4039/Ent91385-7

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

- Juliano SA. Nonlinear curve fitting: Predation and functional response curves. In: Scheiner SM, Gurevitch J, editors. Design and analysis of ecological experiments. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2001. p.178–96.
- Khosa D, South J, Cuthbert RN, Wasserman RJ, Weyl OLF. Temperature regime drives differential predatory performance in Largemouth Bass and Florida Bass. Environ Biol Fishes. 2020; 103(1):67–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10641-019-00933-z
- **Pritchard DW.** Frair: Tools for functional response analysis. R package version 0.5.100. 2017. Available from: https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=frair
- Pritchard DW, Paterson RA, Bovy HC, Barrios-O'Neill D. FRAIR: An R package for fitting and comparing consumer functional responses. Methods Ecol Evol. 2017; 8(11):1528–34. https://doi. org/10.1111/2041-210X.12784
- Rogers D. Random search and insect population models. J Anim Ecol. 1972; 41(2):369–83. https://doi.org/10.2307/3474
- Trexler JC, McCulloch CE, Travis J. How can the functional response best be determined? Oecologia. 1988; 76:206–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00379954

Neotropical Ichthyology





This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

© 2022 The Authors. Diversity and Distributions Published by SBI



s1.2

Official Journal of the Sociedade Brasileira de Ictiologia Cárdenas-Mahecha LP, Vitule JRS, Padial AA. Prey selectivity of the invasive largemouth bass towards native and non-native prey: an experimental approach. Neotrop Ichthyol. 2022; 20(2):e210123. https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-2021-0123