

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL S2

**TABLE S2** | Land use relative area (%) and classification in microbasins of 24 streams sampled in state of Paraná, Brazil (Prevailing classes are bold).

Code	Sites	Contribution area	Vegetation	Rural	Urban
1	Água Pirapó	612.72	5.9	23.6	<b>70.5</b>
2	Água Queçaba	1215.56	6.0	<b>77.9</b>	16.1
3	Guaiapó	1111.92	4.8	14.3	<b>80.9</b>
4	Miosotis	894.28	5.5	40.1	<b>54.4</b>
5	Nazaré	337.74	17.0	8.7	<b>74.2</b>
6	Mandacaru	1537.95	5.1	20.0	<b>75.0</b>
7	Remo	782.73	9.6	<b>87.4</b>	2.9
8	Romeira	888.75	9.6	<b>87.0</b>	3.4
9	Zaúna	331.73	13.9	<b>80.2</b>	5.8
10	Água Roseira	840.63	14.7	<b>68.5</b>	16.8
11	Jequitiba	296.64	6.4	13.9	<b>79.6</b>
12	São Francisco	239.99	2.4	0	<b>97.6</b>
13	Pinheirinho	543.81	4.8	45.0	<b>50.2</b>
14	Poço Preto	405.49	<b>100.0</b>	0	0
15	Dourado	1062.57	24.9	<b>57.9</b>	17.2
16	Preservado 1	535.04	<b>52.5</b>	47.5	0
17	Preservado 2	648.29	<b>54.7</b>	45.4	0
18	Preservado 3	870.65	<b>72.5</b>	27.5	0
19	Rural 1	655.5	1.7	<b>98.0</b>	0.3
20	Rural 2	1339.12	33.2	<b>66.9</b>	0
21	Rural 3	1605.8	21.7	<b>76.6</b>	1.7
22	Urbano 1	678.45	21.2	33.2	<b>45.5</b>
23	Urbano 2	123.7	11.4	26.3	<b>62.3</b>
24	Urbano 3	763.52	24.8	<b>44.0</b>	31.2

## HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE

- **Miiller NOR, Cunico AM, Gubiani EA, Piana PA.** Functional responses of stream fish communities to rural and urban land uses. *Neotrop Ichthiol.* 2021; 19(3):e200134. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-0224-2020-0134>